

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,852	01/15/2002	Daniel A. Hilbrich	29929/38327	5104	
4743 MARSHALL	7590 08/21/200 GERSTEIN & BORUI		EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/047,852

HILBRICH, DANIEL A.

Examiner

Art Unit

interview Summary			
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Reginald L. Alexander	3742	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Reginald L. Alexander.	(3) Jennifer Burnette.		
(2) <u>James Zeller</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 18 August 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)  applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Smith</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed prov Declaration. The arguments would include the contention of coffee makers would destroy any paper filter.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no collowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE.	idina arguments regarding on if the Declaration that the high ments which the examiner ag opy of the amendments that vi. i.)  CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	reed would render the SUBSTANCE Control filed, April 20 December 20 December 20 December 20 December 20 December 30 December 3	ed in espresso er the claims claims  DF THE LICANT IS "HIS LATER, TO
/Reginald L. Alexander/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742			